

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/560,503	12/13/2005	Hidekazu Inoue	69681.000005	4612	
2007 7500 HUNTON & WILLIAMS ILLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 1900 K STREET, N.W. SUITE 1200			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			MURRAY,	MURRAY, JEFFREY H	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1109			1624		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/21/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/560,503 INOUE ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit JEFFREY H. MURRAY 1624 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 April 2009. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.4-10 and 12-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 4-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 12-27 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC/G5/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/560,503 Page 2

Art Unit: 1624

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

 Claims 1-5, 7-15, 17 and 18 are pending in this application. Claims 6 and 16 have been cancelled. This action is in response to the applicants' amendment after a non-final and reply filed on May 12, 2009.

Withdrawn Rejections/Objections

Applicant is notified that any outstanding rejection/objection that is not expressly
maintained in this office action has been withdrawn or rendered moot in view of
applicant's amendments and/or remarks.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, 2nd paragraph

- Claims 1 and 4-10 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. The scope of "heteroaryl" and "heterocycloalkyl" still requires clarification since applicants' definition remains vague. Where applicants define terms with a special meaning, they must set out the special definition with "reasonable clarity, deliberateness and precision". Note *Teleflex v. Ficosa*, 63 USPQ2d 1374; Rexnord Corp v. Laitram Corp. 60 USPQ2d 1851 and MPEP 2111.01.

Applicants argue that, "breath of the claim should not be confused with indefiniteness."

Section 2173.02 of the MPEP discusses this issue and states the following:

Application/Control Number: 10/560,503

Art Unit: 1624

The examiner's focus during examination of claims for compliance with the requirement for definiteness of 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, is whether the claim meets the threshold requirements of, clarity and precision not whether more suitable language or modes of expression are available. When the examiner is satisfied that patentable subject matter is disclosed, and it is apparent to the examiner that the claims are directed to such patentable subject matter, he or she should allow claims which define the patentable subject matter with a reasonable degree of particularity and distinctness. Some latitude in the manner of expression and the aptness of terms should be permitted even though the claim language is not as precise as the examiner might desire. Examiners are encouraged to suggest claim language to applicants to improve the clarity or precision of the language used, but should not reject claims or insist on their own preferences if other modes of expression selected by applicants satisfy the statutory requirement. (Emphasis added).

Examiner sees no "particularity and distinctness" in a term such as "heterocycloalkyl." The term is vague and is defined only by non-limiting examples within the specification. When one states, "...a heterocycloalkyl group..." and then provides a non-exclusive list of examples of substituents, how can this be considered definite? Does the applicant wish to claim a 5 membered 1-heteroatom ring, or a bicyclic 6-heteroatom containing ring system? If the ring is a simply ring such as pyridine, is it attached as a 2-pyridyl, 3-pyridyl or 4-pyridyl group? Applicant must narrow such broad terminology by either eliminating such a broad definition or by inserting the specific ring systems they wish to cover into the claim themselves. If applicants desire they may contact examiner to discuss this issue further and/or receive suggestions on the claim language.

In regards to the term "heterobicycloalkyl" applicant is correct, the term does not appear in the claims, as this is a typographical error by the examiner.

Application/Control Number: 10/560,503

Art Unit: 1624

This term and argument is moot. In regards to the term "optionally substituted" examiner's intent was to focus on the term "substituted or unsubstituted" which appears throughout the claims. Examiner notes that within the specification, a definition of various "substituted terms" is given. In addition, the applicants define a substituent of a "substituted or unsubstituted C₁₋₆alkyl group" as a substituted or unsubstituted alkyl group. This is clearly vague as one cannot define the term "substituted" with another term that is "substituted". These terms are all either vague or defined with non-limiting examples, making them indefinite for reasons stated prior.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 12-27 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 12-27 are free of the prior art. The closest prior art to the claims is Niewoehner, et. al., WO 2001047928. Niewoehner, et. al. however, teaches a methyl group in the R¹ position, not a cycloalkyl or t-butyl group.

Conclusion

- Claims 1, 4-10 are rejected.
- 7. Claims 12-27 are objected.
- THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/560,503

Art Unit: 1624

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey H. Murray whose telephone number is 571-272-9023. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs. 7:30-6pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisors, Mr. James O. Wilson can be reached at 571-272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/560,503 Page 6

Art Unit: 1624

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jeffrey H Murray/ Patent Examiner , Art Unit 1624 /James O. Wilson/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1624